

Cultural Resources Policy Comparison Table

Cultural Resources Policies

LUP Update – 2018 Public Draft	Origin
<i>General Policies</i>	
<u>8-1. Cultural Resources Protection.</u> Half Moon Bay’s paleontological, archaeological, and historic resources shall be protected and preserved through identification, education and awareness, and standards for avoidance and mitigation of impacts from the siting and design of new development. Implement reasonable mitigation measures and adopt a threshold of significance standard for impact to cultural resources, consistent with CEQA standards.	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<u>8-2. Cultural Resources Reporting.</u> Report all known and newly discovered cultural resources to the appropriate agency or organization. These may include but are not limited to the California Native American Heritage Commission, the State Historical Resources Commission, or the California Office of Historic Preservation.	New 2018 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<u>8-3. Cultural Resources Awareness.</u> Promote community education and awareness of the region’s culture, history, and historical resources through a variety of means, including the provision of arts and cultural offerings and exhibits accessible to all members of the community. Inform the public that any potential archaeological finds should be reported to the City immediately.	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<u>8-4. Cultural Resources Inventory Updates.</u> Update the Planning Commission on the status of and any changes to the City’s cultural resources inventory, districts and designations every 2-5 years, or as otherwise appropriate.	New 2018 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<u>8-5. Cultural Resources Archive.</u> Establish a comprehensive archive of archaeological surveys, archaeological and historical resources mapping, and other relevant studies, inventories, and information for sites throughout the city to support cultural resource protection.	<u>Original LUP Policy 6-1:</u> The City will actively solicit technical and financial assistance from the State and Federal governments for purposes of undertaking a survey of potential archaeological resources in Half Moon Bay.

LUP Update – 2018 Public Draft	Origin
<p><u>8-6. Development Impacts on Cultural Resources.</u> Any impacts, disturbance, or substantial adverse changes caused by development on archaeological, Native American, or historic resources shall be mitigated through avoidance, preservation in place, or site sampling and salvage. The preferred and required alternatives for mitigating impacts to archaeological and Native American resources, if feasible, are avoidance or preservation in place. Consult with Native American representatives on appropriate alternatives.</p>	<p><u>Original LUP Policy 6-5:</u> In the case of any development within an area designated on the Map of Potential Archaeological Resources, the City shall encourage, and require where feasible, such designs and methods of construction as will offer protection for any potential archaeological site.</p>
<p><u>8-7. Discovery of Human Remains.</u> When human remains are uncovered during development, no further disturbance of the site shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition of the remains. If the Coroner determines that the remains are Native American, the California Native American Heritage Commission shall be notified and no further disturbance of the site shall occur until the Commission provides direction on handling procedures.</p>	<p>2016 First Public Draft Policy</p>
<p><u>8-8. Impacts of Coastal Hazards on Cultural Resources.</u> Ensure that cultural resources are protected from the impacts of coastal hazards, including sea level rise. Work with the State Historic Preservation Officer to identify actions such as mitigation and monitoring programs to protect paleontological, archaeological, and historic resources including Native American artifacts at risk from hazards such as erosion, inundation, and sea level rise in a manner consistent with the policies of the LCP and other applicable provisions of the Coastal Act.</p>	<p>2016 First Public Draft Policy, Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment</p>
<i>Native American Cultural Resources Policies</i>	
<p><u>8-9. Native American Cultural Sites.</u> Work with local Native American tribes to protect sacred and culturally significant sites, as well as discovered Native American artifacts and remains.</p>	<p>2016 First Public Draft Policy</p>

LUP Update – 2018 Public Draft	Origin
<p><u>8-10. Native American Consultation.</u> Notify Native American organizations of proposed developments or land use actions that have the potential to adversely impact cultural resources early in the development review process, providing an opportunity for concerned Native American parties to comment on or participate in any treatment plan for sites with cultural or religious significance to the Native American community. Development on sensitive sites requires on-site monitoring by appropriate Native American consultant(s) and a qualified archaeologist for all grading, excavation, and site preparation activities that involve earth-moving operations.</p>	<p>2016 First Public Draft Policy</p>
<i>Archeological Resources Policies</i>	
<p><u>8-11. Archaeological Resources Mapping.</u> Maintain an updated generalized archaeological resource map for public use that designates archaeologically sensitive areas and identifies where archaeological reports may be required for future development. Develop and maintain a detailed archaeological resources map, which due to the sensitive and fragile nature of archaeological resources, is intended for use by City staff and authorized persons only.</p>	<p>2016 First Public Draft Policy</p>
<p><u>8-12. Archaeological Survey with Development Applications.</u> Require the submission of a report by a qualified archaeologist as part of applications for new development within any archaeologically sensitive area as designated on the archaeological resources map. In areas vulnerable to sea level rise impacts, require a site-specific evaluation of potential sea level rise impacts to any archaeological resources on the development site. A report shall include findings on actual and potential resources on the site, impacts of the development proposed, and recommended mitigation measures. All feasible mitigation measures shall be incorporated in the specific plan or development plan prior to the issuance of a permit for development.</p>	<p><u>Original LUP Policy 6-2:</u> Prior to the issuance of a permit for any development within 100 feet of any recorded archaeological site identified in Figure 6.1, the City will require the submission of a report by a qualified archaeologist regarding the resources which may be affected and mitigation measures necessary to protect the site or to undertake salvage of archaeological materials before development. Any permit shall be conditioned upon reasonable measures taken to mitigate the impact of development on archaeological resources. These may include (1) designating construction to avoid important resources, (2) covering the site with fill, and (3) site sampling and salvage.</p> <p><u>Original LUP Policy 6-3:</u> In that portion of any development of 1 acre or more, as indicated on the Land Use Plan Map, which is also</p>

LUP Update – 2018 Public Draft	Origin
	within an area designated on the Map of Potential Archaeological Resources, an archaeological survey shall be undertaken as a part of the preparation of a specific plan for development. The survey shall include findings on actual and potential resources on the site, impacts of the development proposed, and recommended mitigation measures. All feasible mitigation measures shall be incorporated in the specific plan or development plan prior to the issuance of a permit for development.
<p><u>8-13. Archaeological and Paleontological Resources Monitoring.</u> Require, where there is a potential to affect known or newly discovered archaeological, Native American, or paleontological resources, the submittal of a monitoring plan that identifies monitoring and reporting methods and describes the procedures for selecting archeological and Native American monitors and procedures that will be followed if additional or unexpected resources are encountered during development of the site. Procedures may include, but are not limited to, provisions for cessation of all grading and construction activities in the area of the discovery that has any potential to uncover or otherwise disturb cultural deposits in the area of the discovery and all construction that may foreclose mitigation options to allow for significance testing, additional investigation and mitigation.</p>	<p><u>Original LUP Policy 6-4:</u> As a part of any project to construct new roads, trails, sewer or water lines, or other public projects involving substantial excavation which could destroy archaeological resources within the areas designated on the Map of Potential Archaeological resources, provision shall be made for an archaeological survey and the opportunity to sample and salvage the site by a qualified archaeologist as a part of the construction project.</p>
<i>Historic Resources Policies</i>	
<p><u>8-14. Historic Resources Inventory.</u> Prepare, maintain, and update no less than every five years a comprehensive inventory of buildings, structure, objects, and areas of historic, architectural, and engineering significance in Half Moon Bay.</p>	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<p><u>8-15. Historic Resource Designation.</u> Assess potential historic resources; and if eligible, resources shall be brought forth for resource designation to the highest level to which they are eligible. Consider establishing a district of local importance in the heritage downtown area.</p>	2017 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<p><u>8-16. Historic Resources Preservation Ordinance.</u> Review and update the Historic Resources Preservation Ordinance periodically, at a minimum ensuring continued compliance with CEQA and the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for</p>	2016 First Public Draft Policy

LUP Update – 2018 Public Draft	Origin
preservation, rehabilitation, restoration, repair and maintenance, and reconstruction of historic resources.	
<u>8-17. Historic Resource Protection.</u> Continue to protect, preserve, and/or restore identified historic resources through the Historic Resources Preservation chapter of the City’s Zoning Ordinance (part of the City’s Local Coastal Implementation Plan).	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<u>8-18. Historic Resource Evaluation.</u> Require historic resource evaluations for all development applications associated with potential historic resources on or adjacent to the proposed project site. Historic resources identified through this process shall be included in the historic resources inventory.	2017 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<u>8-19. Historic Resource Training.</u> The City shall provide periodic training to the Planning Commission and City staff regarding the Historic Preservation Ordinance and Secretary of Interior’s Standards.	2017 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<u>8-20. Adaptive Reuse of Historic Resources.</u> Encourage adaptive reuse of historic structures compatible with surrounding development and preserving the historical integrity of the structures. Establish guidelines for adaptive reuse in the Historic Resources Preservation Ordinance.	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<u>8-21. City-Owned Historic Resources.</u> Maintain historic City-owned properties such as the Johnston House, Historic Train Depot, Half Moon Bay Historic Jail, Johnston Barn and others and make them available to the public.	2016 First Public Draft Policy
<u>8-22. Mills Act Contracts Program.</u> Establish a Mills Act Contracts Program to incentivize private property owners to ensure the restoration and preservation of qualified historic buildings through agreed-upon scheduled maintenance repairs and restoration.	New 2018 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy
<u>8-23. Restoration Grant Program.</u> Study the feasibility of establishing a grant program for funding historic resource restoration projects, and pursue other related state and federal grant funding opportunities.	New 2018 Planning Commission Public Draft Policy